

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA**

EDDIE BOLLMEIER, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Case No. 3:15-cv-00111-RJC-DCK
)	
GARY HUMMEL, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter, having come before the Court on Plaintiffs’ Eddie Bollmeier, Bill Tracey, and Simon Parrott Motion for Preliminary Injunction pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Court having considered Plaintiffs’ and Defendants’ respective submissions, and it appearing that good cause exists for granting the Preliminary Injunction because Plaintiffs have demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits, Plaintiffs will be irreparably harmed if the Preliminary Injunction is not issued, Defendants will not be harmed at all if the Preliminary Injunction is issued and the public interest supports issuance of the Preliminary Injunction, it is this ___ day of ___, 2015 hereby ORDERED THAT:

1. Defendants Gary Hummel, Stephen Bradford, Rob Streble, Steve Smyser, Robert Frear, Courtney Borman, Ronald Nelson, Paul Diorio, Paul Music, John Taylor, Jay Milkey, and Stephen Nathan and each of them are preliminarily enjoined from authorizing the expenditure of USAPA funds obtained from the collection of dues and assessments of US Airways pilots during the period that USAPA was the exclusive bargaining agent of US Airways pilots in support of activities of any kind, including but not limited to payment

of attorneys, experts, witnesses, office space or flight pay loss to pilots for purposes of preparing for and participating in the Substantive Seniority Integration Process culminating in an arbitration before Arbitrators Dana Eischen, Ira Jaffe and W. David Vaughn.

2. Because the funds that are the subject of the Complaint in the case will remain with USAPA during the pendency of this case and because USAPA will have access to funds provided by the Allied Pilots Association for the purpose of preparing for and participating in the Substantive Seniority Integration Process, the Court finds pursuant to Rule 65 (c) that no bond is required for the issuance of this Preliminary Injunction.
3. Initial disclosures otherwise required by Rule 26 shall not be required and the parties shall meet in conference pursuant to Rule 26(f) no later than ____, 2015.
4. The Court will hold a status conference on ____, 2015 for the purpose of scheduling further proceedings.

United States District Judge